

Global Credit Research - 31 May 2013

Norway

## Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Stable
Bank Deposits	A3/P-2
Bank Financial Strength	C-
Baseline Credit Assessment	(baa2)
Adjusted Baseline Credit Assessment	(baa2)

## Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Soline Poulain/London	44.20.7772.5454
Richard (Blake) B. Foster/London	
Simon Harris/London	
Jessica Svantesson/London	

## Key Indicators

### Sparebanken More (Consolidated Financials)[1]

	[2]3-13	[2]12-12	[2]12-11	[2]12-10	[2]12-09	Avg.
Total Assets (NOK billion)	52.3	51.6	48.5	44.4	41.4	[3]6.0
Total Assets (EUR million)	6,970.2	7,032.1	6,256.1	5,699.2	4,994.0	[3]8.7
Total Assets (USD million)	8,920.5	9,271.1	8,121.4	7,645.8	7,165.0	[3]5.6
Tangible Common Equity (NOK billion)	3.8	3.9	3.4	3.3	2.9	[3]7.4
Tangible Common Equity (EUR million)	510.5	537.6	442.3	419.2	347.2	[3]10.1
Tangible Common Equity (USD million)	653.3	708.8	574.2	562.4	498.1	[3]7.0
Net Interest Margin (%)	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.0	[4]2.0
PPI / Average RWA (%)	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.4	2.2	[5]2.0
Net Income / Average RWA (%)	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.7	1.4	[5]1.3
(Market Funds - Liquid Assets) / Total Assets (%)	23.8	23.9	23.3	21.9	27.0	[4]24.0
Core Deposits / Average Gross Loans (%)	62.8	64.5	64.2	66.3	61.4	[4]63.9
Tier 1 Ratio (%)	13.2	13.7	12.0	12.0	11.6	[5]12.5
Tangible Common Equity / RWA (%)	11.3	12.0	11.1	11.4	10.5	[5]11.3
Cost / Income Ratio (%)	54.6	48.6	48.8	43.4	46.1	[4]48.3
Problem Loans / Gross Loans (%)	1.2	1.3	1.9	2.4	3.0	[4]2.0
Problem Loans / (Equity + Loan Loss Reserves) (%)	12.6	13.5	20.3	24.6	32.0	[4]20.6

Source: Moody's

[1] All figures and ratios are adjusted using Moody's standard adjustments [2] Basel II; IFRS [3] Compound Annual Growth Rate based on IFRS reporting periods [4] IFRS reporting periods have been used for average calculation [5] Basel II & IFRS reporting periods have been used for average calculation

## Opinion

### SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

Sparebanken Møre's C- standalone bank financial strength rating (BFSR), which is equivalent to a standalone

credit assessment of baa2, incorporates our assessment of the bank's sound local franchise but is primarily constrained by the bank's high borrower concentration and its exposures to more volatile corporate sectors.

Sparebanken Møre's global local currency (GLC) deposit rating of A3 is supported by its standalone credit assessment of baa2 and the Aaa local currency deposit ceiling of Norway, which is deemed the underlying support provider. As a result, Sparebanken Møre's GLC deposit rating benefits from a two-notch uplift from its standalone credit assessment. This is based on our assessment of a high probability of systemic support for the bank if necessary and its importance to the Norwegian market.

Unless otherwise stated, all figures shown are from the bank's annual and interim financial reports.

### **Rating Drivers**

- Robust local franchise in Western Norway
- Substantial deposit base, but reliance on market funds
- Exposure to cyclical industries and credit concentration pose downside risk to asset quality
- Capital at relatively good levels
- Expected focus on margins, but upside limited by strong competition and uncertainties regarding provisioning levels

### **Rating Outlook**

All Sparebanken Møre's ratings carry stable outlooks.

### **What Could Change the Rating - Up**

Upward rating momentum could develop if Sparebanken Møre demonstrates (1) sustained good asset quality in its retail and corporate loan books, including in the more volatile segments, (2) continued good access to capital markets and improved liquidity position, and/or (3) stronger earnings generation without an increase in its risk profile.

### **What Could Change the Rating - Down**

The bank's ratings could see downward pressure as a result of (1) Sparebanken Møre's asset quality deteriorates more than we anticipate, (2) financing conditions become more difficult and/or (3) its risk profile increases, for example as a result of increased exposures to more volatile sectors. In addition, we believe that downward pressure could be exerted on the ratings due to external factors, such as less supportive macroeconomic environment and/or substantially adverse developments in the Norwegian real-estate market.

## **DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS**

### **ROBUST LOCAL FRANCHISE IN WESTERN NORWAY**

Sparebanken Møre is a regional savings bank with a robust market position in western Norway in the county of Møre and Romsdal, where Moody's estimates it commands market shares of around 20% for lending and almost 30% for deposits. It operates as an independent savings bank and uses an open-architecture business model to broaden its offering of financial services and products.

Its national market share is however limited, just above 1% on loans and 1.5% on deposits. In addition, in the region where it operates, Sparebanken Møre faces keen competition and, in our opinion, similar to most regional savings banks, it lacks pricing power against the two national market leaders.

The bank's limited geographic diversification is another key constraint in our assessment of Sparebanken Møre's franchise value, as it means that the performance of its operations is closely linked to local economic developments.

### **SUBSTANTIAL DEPOSIT BASE, BUT RELIANCE ON MARKET FUNDS**

Sparebanken Møre's funding position is underpinned by a strong deposit base, which accounts for around 60% of its total funding, and retail deposits that represent more than half of total deposits. At end-March 2013 the deposit-

to-gross loan ratio was 62%, meaning the bank is reliant on market funding, which we see as a credit negative, due to the inherent confidence sensitivity and the potential for the availability and cost of market-based funding to change unexpectedly.

At end-March 2013 approximately 50% of market funds was in the form of covered bonds issued through Møre Boligkreditt, the bank's wholly-owned covered bond company. Whilst we positively view the diversification benefit and the typically longer maturity of these funding instruments (close to four years on average at year-end 2012), we caution that extensive use of covered bond funding results in the structural subordination of Sparebanken Møre's unsecured creditors, including depositors.

At end-March 2013 liquid assets accounted for just below 15% of total assets, including cash and deposits with the central bank and the securities portfolio, which mainly comprise covered bonds, Norwegian government bonds and senior bonds in Norwegian savings banks as well as some corporate bonds and shareholdings. However, we note that holdings are concentrated on Norwegian securities, which we see as a vulnerability from a concentration point of view.

#### EXPOSURE TO CYCLICAL INDUSTRIES AND CREDIT CONCENTRATION POSE DOWNSIDE RISK TO ASSET QUALITY

Problem loans (defined as commitments in default and other bad and doubtful commitments) accounted for 1.2% of gross loans at end-March 2013, continuing the steady downward trend from their peak at 3.1% at year-end 2007. This level is now in line with that of most other Moody's-rated Norwegian savings banks, while it had historically been somewhat higher than theirs.

Sparebanken Møre's loan portfolio is well diversified with around 60% of the bank's lending being to retail customers, mainly in the form of mortgages. At end-March 2013, 40% of these retail loans were part of Møre Boligkreditt's covered pool and we note that the remaining mortgages - available to unsecured creditors in a liquidation - typically have higher loan-to-value and are therefore more exposed to any decline in house prices.

A key risk to Sparebanken Møre's future asset quality is its substantial exposure to sectors that we view as more volatile: the property management sector (around 13% of total loans at end-March 2013), fish-related industries (primarily fishing vessels and exposures backed by fishing quotas, around 7%) and shipping (around 4%). Exposures to these sectors have crystallised a substantial portion of Sparebanken Møre's problem loans in recent years, in particular fish-related industries accounted for over 40% of total problem loans at year-end 2012, and we expect exposures to these sectors will continue to be a source of vulnerability for the bank's future asset quality.

In addition, operating in only one region means that Sparebanken Møre exhibits significant single-borrower concentration - whilst common at Nordic banks, this feature could accelerate the extent and pace of any deterioration in asset quality. In addition, this means that future asset quality developments will be closely linked to the outlook for the Møre and Romsdal county; in particular, we note that fish exports and shipping are important for the region's economy and will likely be negatively affected by a slowdown in the global economy. Furthermore, less favourable interest rate and house price levels, combined with highly indebted Norwegian households, could undermine the bank's retail asset quality.

#### CAPITAL AT RELATIVELY GOOD LEVELS

Sparebanken Møre exhibits good capitalisation. At end-March 2013 the Tier 1 and total capital ratios (standardised approach, excluding interim profit) stood at 13.2% and 14.7%, respectively. Sparebanken Møre strengthened its capital with NOK500 million hybrid issue in October 2012. The current levels compare well with those of its rated peers and are above the bank's stated minimum levels of 11% Tier 1 ratio and 9% Core Equity Tier 1 ratio (the latter being in line with the current regulatory requirement for Norwegian banks).

#### EXPECTED FOCUS ON MARGINS, BUT UPSIDE LIMITED BY STRONG COMPETITION AND UNCERTAINTIES REGARDING PROVISIONING LEVELS

Sparebanken Møre is reliant on net interest income, which has represented around 80% of its operating income over the past five years. This source of earnings has been growing at an average annual rate of 6% over the past three years, supported by good loan growth as well as successful re-pricing initiative. Nevertheless, upside potential is limited by strong competition and increased funding costs. We expect these factors will continue to put pressure on the bank's ability to grow its top-line earnings, although we expect that Sparebanken Møre will focus on improving its profitability, in particular by taking part in the general movement of re-pricing currently under way in the Norwegian banking market in anticipation for tighter capital requirements.

Other sources of income, in particular related to Sparebanken Møre's holding of financial instruments, made an increased contribution to revenue in 2012. Fee and commission income accounted for around 11% of the 2012 operating income and is mainly related to fees from credit cards and loans.

Sparebanken Møre's efficiency also supports its profitability; its 2012 cost-to-income was just below 50% as per Moody's calculations (in particular, excluding the NOK166 million one-off gain related to changes in the pension scheme). At this level, the bank just meets management's target of a cost-to-income ratio below 50 % and ranks well among Moody's-rated regional savings banks in Norway.

### **Global Local Currency Deposit Rating (Joint Default Analysis)**

Moody's assigns a GLC deposit rating of A3 to Sparebanken Møre. The rating is supported by its baa2 standalone credit assessment and the Aaa local currency deposit ceiling of Norway, which is considered the underlying support provider. Given the bank's importance to its region, and the region's importance to the national economy of Norway, Moody's assesses as high the probability of systemic support in the event of a stress situation. We are however likely to gradually reduce such unusual support uplift as such support mechanisms are phased out, as banks' stand-alone profiles improve, and as regulators globally consider implementing bank resolution regimes.

### **Foreign Currency Deposit Rating**

Foreign currency deposit ratings are unconstrained given that Norway has a country ceiling of Aaa. Sparebanken Møre's foreign currency deposit rating is A3.

### **Foreign Currency Debt Rating**

Foreign currency senior unsecured debt ratings are unconstrained given that Norway has a country ceiling of Aaa. Sparebanken Møre's foreign currency senior unsecured debt rating is A3.

## **ABOUT MOODY'S BANK RATINGS**

### **Bank Financial Strength Rating**

Moody's Bank Financial Strength Ratings (BFSRs) represent Moody's opinion of a bank's intrinsic safety and soundness and, as such, exclude certain external credit risks and credit support elements that are addressed by Moody's Bank Deposit Ratings. BFSRs do not take into account the probability that the bank will receive such external support, nor do they address risks arising from sovereign actions that may interfere with a bank's ability to honor its domestic or foreign currency obligations. Factors considered in the assignment of BFSRs include bank-specific elements such as financial fundamentals, franchise value, and business and asset diversification. Although BFSRs exclude the external factors specified above, they do take into account other risk factors in the bank's operating environment, including the strength and prospective performance of the economy, as well as the structure and relative fragility of the financial system, and the quality of banking regulation and supervision.

### **Global Local Currency Deposit Rating**

A deposit rating, as an opinion of relative credit risk, incorporates the BFSR as well as Moody's opinion of any external support. Specifically, Moody's Bank Deposit Ratings are opinions of a bank's ability to repay punctually its deposit obligations. As such, they are intended to incorporate those aspects of credit risk relevant to the prospective payment performance of rated banks with respect to deposit obligations, which includes: intrinsic financial strength, sovereign transfer risk (in the case of foreign currency deposit ratings), and both implicit and explicit external support elements. Moody's Bank Deposit Ratings do not take into account the benefit of deposit insurance schemes which make payments to depositors, but they do recognize the potential support from schemes that may provide assistance to banks directly.

According to Moody's joint default analysis (JDA) methodology, the global local currency deposit rating of a bank is determined by the incorporation of external elements of support into the bank's Baseline Credit Assessment. In calculating the Global Local Currency Deposit rating for a bank, the JDA methodology also factors in the rating of the support provider, in the form of the local currency deposit ceiling for a country, Moody's assessment of the probability of systemic support for the bank in the event of a stress situation and the degree of dependence between the issuer rating and the Local Currency Deposit Ceiling.

### **National Scale Rating**

National scale ratings are intended primarily for use by domestic investors and are not comparable to Moody's globally applicable ratings; rather they address relative credit risk within a given country. AAaa rating on Moody's National Scale indicates an issuer or issue with the strongest creditworthiness and the lowest likelihood of credit loss relative to other domestic issuers. National Scale Ratings, therefore, rank domestic issuers relative to each other and not relative to absolute default risks. National ratings isolate systemic risks; they do not address loss expectation associated with systemic events that could affect all issuers, even those that receive the highest ratings on the National Scale.

#### Foreign Currency Deposit Rating

Moody's ratings on foreign currency bank obligations derive from the bank's local currency rating for the same class of obligation. The implementation of JDA for banks can lead to high local currency ratings for certain banks, which could also produce high foreign currency ratings. Nevertheless, it should be noted that foreign currency deposit ratings are in all cases constrained by the country ceiling for foreign currency bank deposits. This may result in the assignment of a different, and typically lower, rating for the foreign currency deposits relative to the bank's rating for local currency obligations.

#### Foreign Currency Debt Rating

Foreign currency debt ratings are derived from the bank's local currency debt rating. In a similar way to foreign currency deposit ratings, foreign currency debt ratings may also be constrained by the country ceiling for foreign currency bonds and notes; however, in some cases the ratings on foreign currency debt obligations may be allowed to pierce the foreign currency ceiling. A particular mix of rating factors are taken into consideration in order to assess whether a foreign currency bond rating pierces the country ceiling. They include the issuer's global local currency rating, the foreign currency government bond rating, the country ceiling for bonds and the debt's eligibility to pierce that ceiling.

#### About Moody's Bank Financial Strength Scorecard

Moody's bank financial strength model (see scorecard below) is a strategic input in the assessment of the financial strength of a bank, used as a key tool by Moody's analysts to ensure consistency of approach across banks and regions. The model output and the individual scores are discussed in rating committees and may be adjusted up or down to reflect conditions specific to each rated entity.

### Rating Factors

#### Sparebanken More

Rating Factors [1]	A	B	C	D	E	Total Score	Trend
<b>Qualitative Factors (50%)</b>						<b>C-</b>	
<b>Factor: Franchise Value</b>						<b>D</b>	<b>Neutral</b>
Market share and sustainability				x			
Geographical diversification					x		
Earnings stability			x				
Earnings Diversification [2]							
<b>Factor: Risk Positioning</b>						<b>D+</b>	<b>Neutral</b>
<b>Corporate Governance [2]</b>	-	-	-	-	-		
- Ownership and Organizational Complexity	-	--	--	--	--		
- Key Man Risk	-	--	--	--	--		
- Insider and Related-Party Risks	-	--	--	--	--		
<b>Controls and Risk Management</b>		x					
- Risk Management			x				
- Controls	x						
<b>Financial Reporting Transparency</b>		x					
- Global Comparability	x						
- Frequency and Timeliness	x						
- Quality of Financial Information			x				

<b>Credit Risk Concentration</b>	-	-	-	-	-		
- Borrower Concentration	--	--	--	--	--		
- Industry Concentration	--	--	--	--	--		
<b>Liquidity Management</b>					x		
<b>Market Risk Appetite</b>	x						
<b>Factor: Operating Environment</b>						A-	Neutral
<b>Economic Stability</b>		x					
<b>Integrity and Corruption</b>	x						
<b>Legal System</b>	x						
<b>Financial Factors (50%)</b>						C-	
<b>Factor: Profitability</b>						C	Neutral
PPI % Average RWA (Basel II)			2.14%				
Net Income % Average RWA (Basel II)			1.43%				
<b>Factor: Liquidity</b>						E	Weakening
(Market Funds - Liquid Assets) % Total Assets					23.07%		
<b>Liquidity Management</b>					x		
<b>Factor: Capital Adequacy</b>						A	Neutral
Tier 1 Ratio (% (Basel II))	12.58%						
Tangible Common Equity % RWA (Basel II)	11.54%						
<b>Factor: Efficiency</b>						B	Neutral
Cost / Income Ratio		46.93%					
<b>Factor: Asset Quality</b>						B	Weakening
Problem Loans % Gross Loans		1.89%					
Problem Loans % (Equity + LLR)		19.45%					
<b>Lowest Combined Financial Factor Score (15%)</b>						E	
<b>Economic Insolvency Override</b>						Neutral	
<b>Aggregate BFSR Score</b>						C-	
<b>Aggregate BCA Score</b>						baa1/baa2	
<b>Assigned BFSR</b>						C-	
<b>Assigned BCA</b>						baa2	

[1] - Where dashes are shown for a particular factor (or sub-factor), the score is based on non-public information.  
[2] - A blank score under Earnings Diversification or Corporate Governance indicates the risk is neutral.

**MOODY'S**  
**INVESTORS SERVICE**

© 2013 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

**CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE**

**VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.**

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or

Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for retail clients to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.